

# THE MINIMAL RESOLUTION CONJECTURE AND ULRICH BUNDLES

MARIAN APRODU, GAVRIL FARKAS, AND ANGELA ORTEGA

The Minimal Resolution Conjecture (MRC) for points on a projective variety  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  predicts that the minimal graded free resolution of a general set  $\Gamma \subset X$  of points is as simple as the geometry of  $X$  allows. Originally, the most studied case has been that when  $X = \mathbf{P}^r$ , see [EPSW]. The general form of the MRC for subvarieties  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  was formulated in [Mus] and [FMP]. The Betti diagram of a large enough set  $\Gamma \subset X$  consisting of  $\gamma$  general points is obtained from the Betti diagram of  $X$ , by adding two rows, indexed by  $u - 1$  and  $u$ , where  $u$  is an integer depending on  $\gamma$ . All differences  $b_{i+1,u-1}(\Gamma) - b_{i,u}(\Gamma)$  are known and depend on the Hilbert polynomial  $P_X$  and  $i, u$  and  $\gamma$ , see [FMP]. The *Minimal Resolution Conjecture* for  $\gamma$  general points on  $X$  predicts that

$$b_{i+1,u-1}(\Gamma) \cdot b_{i,u}(\Gamma) = 0,$$

for each  $i \geq 0$ . In particular, the Betti numbers of  $\Gamma$  are as small as the Betti numbers of  $X$  allow and are determined in terms of  $P_X$  and  $\gamma$ . The *Ideal Generation Conjecture* (IGC) predicts the same vanishing but only for  $i = 1$ , that is,  $b_{2,u-1}(\Gamma) \cdot b_{1,u}(\Gamma) = 0$ ; equivalently, the number of generators of the ideal  $I_\Gamma/I_X$  is minimal.

In [FMP], the Minimal Resolution Conjecture for points on curves is reformulated in geometric terms. For a globally generated linear series  $\ell = (L, V) \in G_d^r(C)$ , we consider the kernel vector bundle  $M_V$  defined via the evaluation sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_V \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then MRC holds for  $C \xrightarrow{|V|} \mathbf{P}^r$  if and only if  $M_V$  satisfies the *Raynaud property* (R)

$$(1) \quad H^0\left(C, \bigwedge^i M_V \otimes \xi\right) = 0,$$

for each  $i = 0, \dots, r$  and a general line bundle  $\xi$  on  $C$  with  $\deg(\xi) = g - 1 + \lfloor \frac{id}{r} \rfloor$ , see [FMP] Corollary 1.8. When  $\mu := \frac{d}{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$  (in which case we refer to  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  as being a curve of integer slope), property (R) is satisfied if and only if for  $i = 0, \dots, r$ , the cycle

$$\Theta_{\bigwedge^i M_V} := \left\{ \xi \in \text{Pic}^{g-1+i\mu}(C) : h^0\left(C, \bigwedge^i M_V \otimes \xi\right) \neq 0 \right\}$$

is a divisor in  $\text{Pic}^{g-1+i\mu}(C)$ . Equivalently,  $\bigwedge^i M_V$  has a theta divisor for all  $i \geq 0$ .

Our first result is a proof of MRC for curves  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  of integer slope  $\mu := \frac{d}{r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

**Theorem 0.1.** *The Minimal Resolution Conjecture holds for a general embedding  $C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r$  of degree  $\mu r$  of any curve  $C$  with general moduli, for any integers  $\mu, r \geq 1$ .*

The hypothesis on the generality of  $C$  implies that its genus  $g$  satisfies the inequality  $g \leq (r+1)(\mu-1)$  imposed by Brill-Noether theory. We have similarly complete results for curves  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  of degree  $d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{r}$ , see Theorem 1.6. In the case of curves

$C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  embedded by a complete linear system of degree  $d \geq 2g + 5$ , counterexamples to MRC were found in [FMP]; observe that in these cases  $\mu = \frac{d}{d-g} < 2$ . On the other hand, MRC holds for *all* smooth canonical curves  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{g-1}$ , see [FMP], as well as for general line bundles of degree  $2g$ , see [B1]. In both these cases, one has  $\mu = 2$ . This confusing state of affairs is reminiscent of the situation for the projective space  $\mathbf{P}^r$ , where it is known [HS] that MRC holds for  $r \geq 4$  and  $\gamma$  very large with respect to  $r$ , but fails for each  $r \geq 6, r \neq 9$  for many values of  $\gamma$ , see [EPSW]. Our next result show that for curves, independently of the genus, the *Clifford line*  $d = 2r$  in the  $(d, r)$ -plane governs whether MRC holds for a general curve  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  of genus  $g$  and degree  $d$ .

**Theorem 0.2.** *Let  $C$  be a curve of genus  $g$  with general moduli and integers  $d, r \geq 1$  such that  $d \geq 2r$ . The Minimal Resolution Conjecture holds for a general embedding  $C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r$  of degree  $d$ , whenever the following condition is satisfied:*

$$(2) \quad d + r \left\lfloor \frac{d}{r} \right\rfloor \geq 2g + 2r - 2.$$

Note that no assumption is made regarding the completeness of the linear series  $(L, V)$  inducing the map  $\varphi_V : C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r$ . Inequality (2) in Theorem 0.2 is satisfied when  $d \geq g + \frac{3r}{2} - 2$ . It is also satisfied in the range  $d \geq 2g - 1$ , when all line bundles in question are non-special. The condition  $d \geq 2r$  is certainly necessary, for as already pointed out, in the other cases counterexamples to MRC were produced using complete linear series, see [FMP] Theorem 2.2. We expect that a refinement of our techniques will eventually produce a proof of MRC for general curves in all cases  $d \geq 2r$ .

We now turn our attention to the IGC for a set  $\Gamma$  of  $\gamma$  general points lying on an embedded curve  $\varphi_V : C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r$ . Assume  $\gamma \geq d \cdot \text{reg}(C) - g + 1$  and set  $u := 1 + \lfloor \frac{\gamma + g - 1}{d} \rfloor$ ; thus  $u$  is the integer uniquely determined by the condition  $P_C(u - 1) \leq \gamma < P_C(u)$ , see also Section 1 for details. The resolution of the zero-dimensional scheme  $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  has the following form, see also [Mus] Proposition 1.6,

$$\cdots \rightarrow S(-u)^{\oplus(du+1-g-\gamma)} \oplus S(-u-1)^{\oplus b_{1,u}(\Gamma)} \rightarrow S \rightarrow S(\Gamma) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $b_{2,u-1}(\Gamma) - b_{1,u}(\Gamma) = r(du - \gamma + 1 - g) - d$ . The Ideal Generation Conjecture for  $C$  and  $\Gamma$  amounts to the multiplication map

$$V \otimes H^0(C, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma/C}(u)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma/C}(u+1))$$

having maximal rank, or equivalently, the number of generators of the ideal  $I_\Gamma/I_C$  being minimal, precisely  $b_{1,u}(\Gamma) = \max\{d - r(du - \gamma + 1 - g), 0\}$ . The following result gives a complete solution to IGC for general curves.

**Theorem 0.3.** *Fix integers  $g, r, d \geq 0$ . Then the Ideal Generation Conjecture holds for a general embedding  $C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r$  of degree  $d$  of any genus  $g$  curve  $C$  having general moduli.*

It should be pointed out that Theorems 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 are optimal in the sense that they establish MRC or IGC for a *general* curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  and a *general* linear series  $\ell \in G_d^r(C)$ . Having fixed  $g, r$  and  $d$ , one cannot expect a more precise statement. It suffices indeed to consider the situation in genus 0. To a non-degenerate rational curve  $R \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  of degree  $d$ , one associates the splitting type  $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_r$  of the vector bundle  $T_{\mathbf{P}^r|R}(-1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(a_r)$ . The splitting type of a general  $R$  as above is

balanced, that is, with  $0 \leq a_r - a_1 \leq 1$ , and then  $a_1 = \lfloor \frac{d}{r} \rfloor$  and  $a_r = \lceil \frac{d}{r} \rceil$ ; the locus of curves with non-balanced splitting type is a divisor in the (irreducible) Hilbert scheme of rational curves  $R \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  of degree  $d$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see cf. [Mus] Corollary 3.8, that  $R$  verifies MRC if and only if its splitting type is balanced. Such examples can be constructed on every curve of positive genus, by considering linear series with exceptional secant behaviour; systematically MRC fails along certain proper subvarieties of the corresponding Hilbert schemes, but holds generically.

As the title of the paper suggests, the second topic we investigate concerns Ulrich bundles. We fix a  $k$ -dimensional variety  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  of degree  $d$ . Following [ES], a vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$  is said to be an *Ulrich bundle* if  $E$  admits a *completely linear*  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}$ -resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(-r+k)^{\oplus a_{r-k}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(-1)^{\oplus a_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}^{\oplus a_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $a_0 = d \cdot \text{rk}(E)$  and  $a_i = \binom{r-k}{i} a_0$  for  $i \geq 1$ . In terms intrinsic to  $X$ , this amounts to requiring  $E$  to be an ACM bundle, that is,  $H^i(X, E(t)) = 0$  for all  $t$  and  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ , and the module  $\Gamma_*(E) := \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(X, E(t))$  to have the maximum number of generators, which equals  $d \cdot \text{rk}(E)$ , all appearing in degree 0.

When  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  is a hypersurface, the existence of Ulrich bundles is related to classical problems in algebraic geometry, see [B2]. If  $\text{rk}(E) = 1$ , then one has a determinantal presentation of  $X : \{\det(M) = 0\}$ , where  $M = (\ell_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$  is a matrix of linear forms; a bundle  $E$  with  $\text{rk}(E) = 2$  corresponds to a Pfaffian equation  $X : \{\text{pf}(M) = 0\}$ , where  $M$  is a  $(2d) \times (2d)$  skew-symmetric linear matrix. Eisenbud and Schreyer generalized this fact to arbitrary varieties, by showing that if  $X$  carries a rank 2 Ulrich bundle  $E$  with  $\det(E) = K_X(k+1)$ , then the *Chow form* of  $X$  is the Pfaffian of an explicit map of vector bundles, see [ES] Theorem 3.1. It is asked in [ES] whether every embedded projective variety carries an Ulrich bundle. This has been confirmed so far only in few cases. A hypersurface carries an Ulrich bundle of exponential rank. Curves also carry Ulrich line bundles [ES]; a vector bundle  $E$  on a smooth curve  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  having slope  $\mu(E) = d + g - 1$  is an Ulrich bundle, if and only if  $H^0(C, E(-1)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-1) \notin \Theta_E$ . In particular, an Ulrich bundle on a curve admits a theta divisor in the sense of [R].

Del Pezzo surfaces  $X_d \subset \mathbf{P}^d$  of degree  $d$  have Ulrich bundles of any rank  $r \geq 2$ , see [MP], [CH2]. A remarkable connection between Ulrich bundles and the Minimal Resolution Conjecture as studied in this paper is established in [CKM1]. Precisely, there exists an Ulrich bundle  $E$  on  $X$  with  $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$ , if and only if the curve  $C \subset X$  has degree  $d \cdot \text{rk}(E)$  and MRC holds for  $C$ . Finally, we mention that using the techniques of [AF], Coskun, Kulkarni and Mustopa [CKM2] have shown that every smooth quartic surface  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^3$  carries a rank 2 Ulrich bundle, thus generalizing work of Beauville [B2].

In this direction, we show that K3 surfaces satisfying a mild generality condition carry Ulrich bundles of rank 2, satisfying the skew-symmetry requirement of [ES].

**Theorem 0.4.** *Let  $S \subset \mathbf{P}^{s+1}$  be a polarized K3 surface. If the Clifford index of cubic sections of  $S$  is computed by  $\mathcal{O}_S(1)$ , then  $S$  carries a  $(2s+10)$ -dimensional family of stable rank 2 Ulrich bundle  $E$  with  $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}_S(3)$ .*

For  $s = 2$ , the condition  $\text{Cliff}(C) = \text{Cliff}(\mathcal{O}_C(1)) = 4s - 2$  on the Clifford index of a smooth cubic section  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_S(3)|$  is automatically satisfied, see [L2] page 185, for in

this case we have complete intersection curves, whose Clifford index is computed by multisections. The condition is also satisfied by every  $K3$  surface  $S$  with  $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot H$ , as well as in many other cases. The restriction to rank 2 is natural, for a very general polarized  $K3$  surface carries *no* Ulrich bundles of odd rank, see Section 2. By taking direct sums, via Theorem 0.4 one obtains Ulrich bundles of any even rank on  $S$ .

The case  $s = 2$  of Theorem 0.4 is proved in [CKM2]. Our proof of Theorem 0.4 partly grew out of an attempt to generalize that result. The bundles  $E$  are *special Ulrich bundles* in the sense of [ES] Proposition 6.2; when  $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}_S(3)$  the Ulrich condition is equivalent to  $E$  being 0-regular. The candidate bundles are *Lazarsfeld-Mukai bundles*  $E := E_{C,A}$ , where  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_S(3)|$  is a suitable cubic section of  $S$  and  $A \in W_{5s+4}^1(C)$  is a complete base point free pencil. Since  $C$  is far from being Brill-Noether general, showing that a general cubic section  $C \subset S$  carries a pencil  $\mathfrak{g}_{5s+4}^1$  inducing a simple Ulrich bundle, becomes a rather tricky variational problem, which we solve in a way reminiscent of our proof [AF] of Green's conjecture for curves on arbitrary  $K3$  surfaces. The role of the required equality  $\text{Cliff}(C) = \text{Cliff}(\mathcal{O}_C(1))$  is that it ensures the existence of a complete base point free pencil  $\mathfrak{g}_{5s+4}^1$ .

Apart from serving as Ulrich bundles on  $K3$  surfaces, the Lazarsfeld-Mukai (LM) bundles are also studied from a different angle in the last section of the paper. For a  $K3$  surface  $S$ , a smooth curve  $C \subset S$  and a globally generated linear series  $A \in W_d^r(C)$  with  $h^0(C, A) = r + 1$ , the *Lazarsfeld-Mukai* vector bundle  $E_{C,A}$  is defined via the following elementary modification on  $S$

$$(3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E_{C,A}^\vee \longrightarrow H^0(C, A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0.$$

The bundles  $E_{C,A}$  have been intensely studied in the context of Brill-Noether theory [L1], moduli of sheaves [Mu], and more recently, in connection with Mercat's conjecture [FO]. Recall that the Clifford index of a semistable vector bundle  $E \in \mathcal{U}_C(n, d)$  on a curve  $C$  of genus  $g$  is defined as  $\gamma(E) := \mu(E) - \frac{2}{n}h^0(C, E) + 2$ . Then the *higher Clifford indices* of the curve  $C$  are defined as the quantities

$$\text{Cliff}_n(C) := \min \left\{ \gamma(E) : E \in \mathcal{U}_C(n, d), \ d \leq n(g-1), \ h^0(C, E) \geq 2n \right\}.$$

Mercat [Me1] predicted that for any smooth curve  $C$  of genus  $g$ , the following equality

$$(M_n) : \quad \text{Cliff}_n(C) = \text{Cliff}(C).$$

should hold. For background on this problem, see [Me1], [LN], [GMN] and [FO].

The restricted LM bundle  $E|_C := E_{C,A} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$  sits in the following exact sequence

$$(4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow Q_A \longrightarrow E|_C \longrightarrow K_C \otimes A^\vee \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $Q_A = M_A^\vee$  is the dual of the kernel bundle appearing in the formulation of MRC. One then shows [V], [FO] that the sequence (4) is exact on global section, that is,

$$h^0(C, E|_C) = h^0(C, K_C \otimes A^\vee) + h^0(C, Q_A) = g - d + 2r + 1.$$

By choosing  $d$  minimal such that  $W_d^r(C) \neq \emptyset$ , precisely  $d = r + \lfloor \frac{r(g+1)}{r+1} \rfloor$ , it becomes clear that for sufficiently high  $g$ , one has  $\gamma(E|_C) < \text{Cliff}(C)$ , that is,  $E|_C$ , when semistable, is a counterexample to Mercat's conjecture  $(M_{r+1})$ . We prove the following result, extending to rank 4 a picture studied in smaller ranks in [Mu], [V], respectively [FO].

**Theorem 0.5.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface with  $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot L$  where  $L^2 = 2g - 2$  and write  $g = 4i - 4 + \rho$  and  $d = 3i + \rho$ , with  $\rho \geq 0$  and  $i \geq 6$ . Then for a general curve  $C \in |L|$  and a globally generated linear series  $A \in W_d^3(C)$  with  $h^0(C, A) = 4$ , the restriction to  $C$  of the Lazarsfeld-Mukai bundle  $E_{C,A}$  is stable.*

Note that in Theorem 0.5,  $\dim W_d^3(C) = \rho$ . We record the following consequence.

**Corollary 0.6.** *For  $C \subset S$  with  $g \geq 20$  and  $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot C$ , we set  $d := \lfloor \frac{4g+14}{3} \rfloor$  and  $A \in W_d^3(C)$  with  $h^0(C, A) = 4$ . Then  $E|_C$  is a stable rank 4 bundle with  $\gamma(E|_C) < \lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor$ . It follows that the statement  $(M_4)$  fails for  $C$ .*

The curves  $C$  appearing in Corollary 0.6 satisfy  $\text{Cliff}(C) = \lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor$ , see [L1]. In Section 4 of the paper, we also show that under mild restrictions, on a very general K3 surface, the extension (4) is non-trivial and the restricted LM bundle  $E|_C$  is simple (see Theorem 3.2). We expect that the bundle  $E|_C$  remains stable also for higher ranks  $r+1 = h^0(C, A)$ , at least when  $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot C$ . However, our method of proof based on the Bogomolov inequality, seem not to extend easily for  $r \geq 4$ .

## 1. MINIMAL RESOLUTIONS OF SETS OF POINTS ON CURVES AND THETA DIVISORS

The aim of this section is to prove Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 and we begin with some preliminaries. Let us fix a subscheme  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ . The *graded Betti numbers* of  $Z$ , counting the  $i$ -th order syzygies of degree  $j$  in the minimal free resolution of the coordinate ring  $S(Z)$  over the polynomial ring  $S := \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_r]$  are denoted as usual by

$$b_{i,j}(Z) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Tor}_{i+j}^i(S(Z), \mathbb{C}).$$

The graded Betti diagram of  $Z$  is obtained by placing  $b_{i,j}(Z)$  in the  $j$ -th row and  $i$ -th column. The number of non-trivial rows in the Betti diagram of  $Z$  equals the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity  $\text{reg}(Z)$ , that is,  $b_{i,j}(Z) = 0$ , for  $j \geq \text{reg}(Z) + 1$ .

Let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  be a smooth curve of genus  $g$  embedded by a linear series  $\ell := (L, V) \in G_d^r(C)$ . Via the Euler sequence, the kernel bundle  $M_V := \text{Ker}\{V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow L\}$  of the evaluation map can be interpreted as the restriction  $M_V = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^r|C}^1(1)$ . We fix a set  $\Gamma \subset C$  of  $\gamma$  general points, where  $\gamma \geq d \cdot \text{reg}(C) + 1 - g$ , then set

$$u := 1 + \lfloor \frac{\gamma + g - 1}{d} \rfloor \geq 1 + \text{reg}(C).$$

It is proved in [FMP] Theorem 1.2 that the Betti diagram of  $\Gamma$  is obtained from that of  $C$  by adding two rows, indexed by  $u - 1$  and  $u$  respectively. Precisely, one has that

$$b_{i,j}(\Gamma) = b_{i,j}(C), \quad \text{for } i \geq 0, j \leq u - 2, \quad \text{and}$$

$$b_{i,j}(\Gamma) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \geq 0 \text{ and } j \geq u + 1.$$

The Betti numbers of  $\Gamma$  in rows  $u - 1$  and  $u$  have the following interpretation:

$$b_{i+1,u-1}(\Gamma) = h^0\left(C, \bigwedge^i M_V \otimes L^{\otimes u}(-\Gamma)\right) \quad \text{and} \quad b_{i,u}(\Gamma) = h^1\left(C, \bigwedge^i M_V \otimes L^{\otimes u}(-\Gamma)\right).$$

The difference of the two Betti numbers on each diagonal can be computed via Riemann-Roch, being equal to the Euler characteristic of a vector bundle on  $C$ :

$$b_{i+1,u-1}(\Gamma) - b_{i,u}(\Gamma) = \chi\left(C, \bigwedge^i M_V \otimes L^{\otimes u}(-\Gamma)\right) = \binom{r}{i} \left(-\frac{id}{r} + du - \gamma + 1 - g\right).$$

The *Minimal Resolution Conjecture* (MRC) for  $C$  predicts that  $b_{i+1,u-1}(\Gamma) \cdot b_{i,u}(\Gamma) = 0$  for all  $i$ , that is, the number of syzygies of  $\Gamma$  is as small as the parameters  $g, d, r, u$  and  $\gamma$  allow. The *Ideal Generation Conjecture* (IGC) predicts the same vanishing, but only for  $i = 1$ . The MRC (respectively IGC) for  $C$  break up into generic vanishing statements for exterior powers of kernel bundles.

**Proposition 1.1.** (a) *The Minimal Resolution Conjecture holds for a smooth curve  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ , if and only if  $H^0(C, \bigwedge^i M_V \otimes \xi) = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$  and a general line bundle  $\xi \in \text{Pic}(C)$  with  $\deg(\xi) = g - 1 + \lfloor \frac{id}{r} \rfloor$ .*  
 (b) *The Ideal Resolution Conjecture holds for  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ , if and only if the previous generic vanishing statement holds for  $i = 1, r-1$ .*

As already observed in [FMP], the vanishing statements in Proposition 1.1 are closely related to work of Raynaud [R].

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $C$  be a smooth curve of genus  $g$  and  $E$  a vector bundle on  $C$  with slope  $\mu(E) = \mu$ . Then  $E$  is said to satisfy condition (R), if  $H^0(C, \bigwedge^i E \otimes \xi) = 0$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$  and for a general line bundle  $\xi \in \text{Pic}^{g-1-\lceil i\mu \rceil}(C)$ .

When  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ , condition (R) implies the semistability of the vector bundle  $E$  and it is in general a much stronger property. Raynaud [R] has given the first examples of stable vector bundles on curves of genus at least 4 that do not satisfy condition (R). Popa [P] showed that if  $\deg(L) \geq 2g + 2$ , then the kernel bundle  $M_L$  fails to verify condition (R). When  $\mu(E) = \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the bundle  $E$  verifies condition (R) if and only if  $\bigwedge^i E$  admits a theta divisor  $\Theta_{\bigwedge^i E} \subset \text{Pic}^{g-i\mu-1}(C)$  for all  $i$ .

Let us fix integers  $g, r, d \geq 1$ , such that the Brill-Noether number

$$\rho(g, r, d) := g - (r+1)(g-d+r)$$

is non-negative. The Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}_{g,r,d}$  of curves  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  of genus  $g$  and degree  $d$  has a unique component  $\mathcal{H}_{g,r,d}$  with general point corresponding to a smooth curve and which maps dominantly onto  $\mathcal{M}_g$  under the forgetful map  $\sigma : \mathcal{H}_{g,r,d} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ . In order to prove MRC for a general embedding of a curve of genus  $g$  with general moduli, it suffices, for given  $r$  and  $d$ , to construct a smooth curve  $[C \xrightarrow{|V|} \mathbf{P}^r]$  such that (i)  $C$  lies in the component  $\mathcal{H}_{g,r,d}$  and (ii) the bundle  $M_V$  verifies the conditions (R). Condition (i) is implied by the injectivity of the Petri map  $\mu_0(V) : V \otimes H^0(C, K_C(-1)) \rightarrow H^0(C, K_C)$ , which is automatically satisfied in the non-special range  $d \geq 2g - 1$ .

We now prove Theorem 0.1 for curves of integral slope  $\mu = \frac{d}{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For an integer  $\mu \geq 1$ , the inequality  $\rho(g, r, \mu r) \geq 0$  is equivalent to  $g \leq (r+1)(\mu-1)$ . If  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  is a nodal curve, when there is no danger of confusion, we write  $M_C := \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^r|C}^1(1) = M_V$ , where  $V \subset H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1))$  is the space of sections inducing the embedding of  $C$ .

*Proof of Theorem 0.1.* When  $\mu = 1$ , then  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  is necessarily a rational normal curve and  $M_C = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus r}$ . The conclusion of the theorem is immediate.

Suppose  $\mu \geq 2$  and we specialize to a  $\mu$ -gonal curve of genus  $g$ ; this has the effect of splitting the corresponding kernel bundle into a direct sum of line bundles of the same slope. Let  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g,\mu}^1$  be a general member of the  $\mu$ -gonal locus in  $\mathcal{M}_g$ . Then the *scrollar invariants* of a suitably general pencil  $\mathfrak{g}_\mu^1$  on  $C$  are as balanced as possible. Precisely,  $C$  possesses a base point free pencil  $(A, W) \in G_\mu^1(C)$ , such that  $H^0(C, A^{\otimes j}) = j + 1$  if and only if  $g \geq j(\mu - 1)$ ; else, that is, when  $g \leq j(\mu - 1)$ , we have that  $H^1(C, A^{\otimes j}) = 0$ . In particular, the assumption  $\rho(g, r, \mu r) \geq 0$  implies that  $H^1(C, A^{\otimes(r+1)}) = 0$ , see [CM] Proposition 2.1.1. We consider the following triple

$$[C, L := A^{\otimes r}, V := \text{Sym}^r(W)] \in \text{Hilb}_{g,r,\mu r},$$

where we identify  $\text{Sym}^r(W)$  with its image under the injection  $\text{Sym}^r(W) \hookrightarrow H^0(C, A^{\otimes r})$ . This point corresponds to a complete linear series, that is,  $V = H^0(C, A^{\otimes r})$ , if and only if  $g \in [r(\mu - 1), (r + 1)(\mu - 1)]$ , or equivalently, when  $g - d + r \geq 0$ . Geometrically, the constructed curve is given by the map  $\nu_r \circ \varphi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^r$ , where  $\varphi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  is the degree  $\mu$  map corresponding to the pencil  $|W|$  and  $\nu_r : \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^r$  is the  $r$ th Veronese map, whose image is a rational normal curve  $R \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ .

The kernel bundle  $M_R = \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^r|R}^1(1)$  splits into a sum of line bundles of the same degree, precisely,  $M_R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus r}$ . Moreover,  $M_V = \varphi^*(M_R) = (A^\vee)^{\oplus r}$ , hence

$$\bigwedge^i M_V = \left( A^{\otimes(-i)} \right)^{\oplus \binom{r}{i}},$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$ . Since a direct sum of line bundles of the same degree has a (reducible) theta divisor, we are left with proving that  $[C, L, V]$  belongs to the main component  $\mathcal{H}_{g,r,\mu r}$  of the Hilbert scheme. It suffices to show that the Petri map

$$\mu_0(V) : \text{Sym}^r(W) \otimes H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\otimes(-r)}) \rightarrow H^0(C, K_C)$$

is injective. This is automatic when  $g \leq \mu r - r$ , because then  $H^1(C, A^{\otimes r}) = 0$ .

We consider the case  $r(\mu - 1) \leq g \leq (r + 1)(\mu - 1)$ , when  $A$  is complete,  $h^0(C, A^{\otimes r}) = r + 1$  and the map  $\nu_r \circ \varphi$  corresponds to a complete linear series.

We prove by induction that for each  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , the multiplication map

$$\chi_j : \text{Sym}^j H^0(C, A) \otimes H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\otimes(-r)}) \rightarrow H^0(C, K_C)$$

is injective. Note that  $\chi_r = \mu_0(V)$  is simply the Petri map, which will conclude the proof. Suppose  $\chi_{j-1}$  is known to be injective and assume that  $\text{Ker}(\chi_r) \neq 0$ . After choosing a basis  $(s_1, s_2)$  for the 2-dimensional space  $H^0(C, A)$ , we find sections  $u_1, \dots, u_{j+1} \in H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\otimes(-r)})$  such that

$$(5) \quad s_1^j \cdot u_1 + (s_1^{j-1} s_2) \cdot u_2 + \dots + (s_1 s_2^{j-1}) \cdot u_j = s_2^j \cdot u_{j+1}.$$

Then  $u_{j+1} \neq 0$ , for else,  $\sum_{k=1}^j (s_1^{j-k} s_2^{k-1}) \otimes u_k \in \text{Sym}^{j-1} H^0(A) \otimes H^0(K_C \otimes (A^\vee)^{\otimes(-r)})$  is a non-zero element in the kernel of  $\chi_{j-1}$ , a contradiction. Applying the Base Point Free

Pencil Trick to equality (5), we obtain a non-zero section  $x_1 \in H^0(K_C \otimes (A^\vee)^{\otimes(r-j+2)})$  such that the following equalities hold in  $H^0(C, K_C \otimes (A^\vee)^{\otimes(r-j+1)})$ :

$$s_1 \cdot x_1 = s_2^{j-1} \cdot u_{j+1} \quad \text{and} \quad s_1^{j-1} \cdot u_1 + \cdots + s_2^{j-1} \cdot u_j = -s_2 \cdot x_1.$$

Applying again the Base Point Free Pencil Trick to the first of these equalities, we find a section  $0 \neq x_2 \in H^0(C, K_C \otimes (A^\vee)^{\otimes(r-j+3)})$ , such that

$$x_1 = -s_2 \cdot x_2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_2^{j-2} \cdot u_{j+1} = s_1 \cdot x_2.$$

Repeating the same argument  $(j-1)$  times, we obtain a non-zero section  $x_{j-1} \in H^0(C, K_C \otimes (A^\vee)^{\otimes(-r)})$ , such that  $s_2 \cdot u_{j+1} = s_1 \cdot x_{j-1}$ . So, we can write

$$s_1 \otimes x_{j-1} - s_2 \otimes u_{j+1} \in \text{Ker}(\chi_1) \cong H^0(C, K_C \otimes (A^\vee)^{\otimes(-r-1)}) = 0.$$

Therefore  $u_{j+1} = 0$ . This is a contradiction, hence  $\nu_r \circ \varphi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^r$  lies in  $\mathcal{H}_{g,r,\mu r}$ .  $\square$

The following result must be well-known and it follows easily from Atiyah's classification of vector bundles on elliptic curves.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve,  $B \in \text{Pic}^b(E)$  a line bundle of degree  $b \geq 2$  and an integer  $1 \leq r \leq b-1$ . Then the kernel bundle  $M_V$  corresponding to a general  $(r+1)$ -dimensional subspace  $V \subset H^0(E, B)$  is semistable.*

*Proof.* We fix a semistable vector bundle  $F$  on  $E$  of rank  $r$  with  $\det(F) = B$ . Note that  $\mu(F) = \frac{b}{r} > 1$ . For every point  $p \in E$ , one has  $\mu(F(-p)) = \mu(F) - 1 > 0$ , therefore  $H^1(E, F(-p)) = 0$ . In particular,  $F$  is globally generated. By Riemann-Roch,  $h^0(E, F) = b \geq r+1$ . A globally generated vector bundle  $F$  on a curve is generated by a general set of  $(\text{rk}(F) + 1)$ -global sections. We choose a generating subspace  $W \subset H^0(C, F)$  with  $\dim(W) = r+1$  and write the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow B^\vee \longrightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}_E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

By dualizing, we take  $V := W^\vee \subset H^0(E, B)$  and then  $M_V = F^\vee$  is semistable.  $\square$

Next we use a specialization to the bielliptic locus in  $\mathcal{M}_g$  that will be of use in the proof of Theorem 0.2 for curves not of integral slope.

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $f : C \rightarrow E$  be a bielliptic curve of genus  $g$  and  $(B, V) \in G_b^r(E)$  a general linear series, where  $r+1 \leq b$ . Then the kernel bundle corresponding to the pair  $\ell := (f^*(B), f^*(V)) \in G_{2b}^r(C)$  verifies condition (R). Moreover, for  $b \geq g-2$ , the Petri map corresponding to  $\ell$  is injective, hence  $\ell \in \mathcal{H}_{g,r,2b}$ .*

*Proof.* From Proposition 1.3 it follows that we can choose the pair  $(B, V)$  such that  $M_V$  is semistable. The cover  $f : C \rightarrow E$  is characterized by a line bundle  $\delta \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(E)$  with

$$f_*(\mathcal{O}_C) = \mathcal{O}_E \oplus \delta^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{O}_E(\mathfrak{b}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{b} \in E_{2g-2}$  is the branch divisor of  $f$ . By pulling-back to  $C$  the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{V,B} \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_E \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0,$$

we find that  $M_{f^*(V), f^*(B)} = f^*(M_{V,B})$ . Since  $K_C = f^*(\delta)$ , via the push-pull formula we obtain  $H^0(C, K_C \otimes f^*(B^\vee)) = f^*H^0(E, \delta \otimes B^\vee)$ ; the Petri map corresponding to  $\ell$



is essentially the multiplication map  $V \otimes H^0(E, \delta \otimes B^\vee) \rightarrow H^0(E, \delta)$ . This is injective when  $h^0(E, \delta \otimes B^\vee) \leq 1$ , that is,  $b \geq g - 2$  (Note that  $f^*(B)$  is non-special for  $b \geq g - 1$ ).

It remains to check that  $M_{f^*(V)}$  verifies property (R). Pick an integer  $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$  and a general line bundle  $\xi \in \text{Pic}^{g-1+\lfloor \frac{id}{r} \rfloor}(C)$ . From the formula  $\det(f_*\xi) = \text{Nm}_f(\xi) \otimes \delta^\vee$ , coupled with Lemma 2.5 from [CEFS], it follows that  $f_*\xi$  is a general *semistable* vector bundle on  $E$  of rank 2 and degree  $\lfloor \frac{id}{r} \rfloor$ . Then because of the semistability of the exterior powers of  $M_V$  we obtain that

$$H^0\left(C, \bigwedge^i M_{f^*(V)} \otimes \xi\right) = H^0\left(E, \bigwedge^i M_V \otimes f_*\xi\right) = 0,$$

for  $\bigwedge^i M_V \otimes f_*\xi$  is a general semistable vector bundle of slope  $\frac{1}{2}\lfloor \frac{2ib}{r} \rfloor - \frac{ib}{r} \leq 0$ .  $\square$

**1.1. Smoothing techniques.** The proof of Theorems 0.2 and 0.3 is by induction on the degree and genus. The inductive step uses the smoothing techniques of Hartshorne-Hirschowitz and Sernesi [Se] and we recall a few basic things. We fix a nodal curve  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  with  $p_a(X) = g$  and  $\deg(X) = d$ , then denote by  $T_X^1$  the *Lichtenbaum-Schlessinger* sheaf defined via the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow T_{\mathbf{P}^r|X} \longrightarrow N_X \longrightarrow T_X^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Setting  $N'_X := \text{Ker}\{N_{X/\mathbf{P}^r} \rightarrow T_X^1\}$ , the vanishing  $H^1(X, N'_X) = 0$  is a sufficient condition for  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  to be flatly smoothable and for  $\text{Hilb}_{g,r,d}$  to be smooth and of expected dimension  $(r+1)d - (r-3)(g-1)$  at the point  $[X]$ , cf. [Se] Proposition 1.6.

Suppose  $X := C \cup_\Delta D$  is the union of two smooth curves  $C, D \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ , meeting transversally at a set of points  $\Delta := \{p_1, \dots, p_\delta\}$ . From [Se] Lemma 5.1, one writes the following exact sequence on  $X$

$$(6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow N_{D/\mathbf{P}^r} \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{\delta} p_i \right) \longrightarrow N'_X \longrightarrow N_{C/\mathbf{P}^r} \longrightarrow 0.$$

If both  $H^1(C, N_{C/\mathbf{P}^r}) = 0$  and  $H^1(D, N_{D/\mathbf{P}^r}(-p_1 - \dots - p_\delta)) = 0$ , then  $H^1(X, N'_X) = 0$  and  $X$  is flatly smoothable in  $\mathbf{P}^r$ . The next result is essentially contained in [Se]:

**Lemma 1.5.** *Suppose  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  is a non-special smooth curve of genus  $g$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_\delta \in C$  distinct points in general linear position, with  $\delta \leq r + 1$ . If  $R \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  is a rational normal curve passing through  $p_1, \dots, p_\delta$ , then  $X := C \cup R$  is a flatly smoothable non-special nodal curve in  $\mathbf{P}^r$  satisfying  $H^1(X, N'_X) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Under the isomorphism  $\nu_r : \mathbf{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} R \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  (hence  $\nu_r^*(\mathcal{O}_R(1)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(r)$ ), it is well-known that  $N_{R/\mathbf{P}^r} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(r+2)^{\oplus(r-1)}$ . The condition  $H^1(R, N_R(-p_1 - \dots - p_\delta)) = 0$  is satisfied precisely when  $\delta \leq r + 3$ . Since  $C$  is non-special,  $H^1(C, N_{C/\mathbf{P}^r}) = 0$  and from (6) it follows that  $X$  is smoothable in  $\mathbf{P}^r$ . From the exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^1\left(R, \mathcal{O}_R(1)\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\delta} p_i\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1)) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

we obtain that  $X$  is non-special precisely when  $\delta \leq r + 1$ .  $\square$

We turn our attention to the Ideal Generation Conjecture for a linear series  $(L, V) \in G_d^r(C)$ . Via Proposition 1.1, this is equivalent to the generic vanishing statements

$$(7) \quad H^0(C, M_V \otimes \xi) = 0, \quad \text{for a general } \xi \in \text{Pic}^{g-1+\lfloor \frac{d}{r} \rfloor}(C), \quad \text{and}$$

$$(8) \quad H^0\left(C, \bigwedge^{r-1} M_V \otimes \xi\right) = 0, \quad \text{for a general } \xi \in \text{Pic}^{g-1+d-\lceil \frac{d}{r} \rceil}(C).$$

We shall prove this for a nodal curve in  $\mathbf{P}^r$  obtained by attaching to a curve of integer slope at most  $r-1$  general secant lines.

*Proof of Theorem 0.3.* We fix positive integers  $g, r$  and  $d$  such that  $\rho := \rho(g, r, d) \geq 0$  and set  $d_1 := d - r\lfloor \frac{d}{r} \rfloor < r$  and  $g_1 := \max\{g - d_1, 0\}$ . By direct computation, we find  $\rho(g_1, r, \lfloor \frac{d}{r} \rfloor r) \geq \min\{\rho - d_1, 0\}$ . This last quantity is non-negative whenever  $\rho \geq r$ . In this case, by using Theorem 0.1, we can construct a smooth curve  $C_1 \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  of genus  $g_1$  and degree  $r\lfloor \frac{d}{r} \rfloor$  with general moduli and with the bundle  $M_{C_1}$  verifying condition (R). When on the other hand  $0 \leq \rho \leq r-1$ , then  $s := g - d + r \geq 0$  and one writes

$$g = rs + s + \rho \quad \text{and} \quad d = rs + r + \rho.$$

Observe that  $\rho(rs + s, r, rs + r) = 0$  and use again Theorem 0.1 to choose a curve  $C_1 \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  of genus  $rs + s$  and degree  $rs + r$  enjoying the exact same properties as above.

To summarize the two cases, one can find integers  $a \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq d_1 \leq r-1$  such that

$$g = g_1 + d_1 \quad \text{and} \quad d = ar + d_1,$$

for which there exists a smooth curve with general moduli  $C_1 \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  with  $\deg(C_1) = ar$  and  $g(C_1) = g_1$ , such that  $M_{C_1}$  verifies condition (R). To  $C_1$  we attach  $d_1$  general 2-secant lines  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{d_1} \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ . The resulting nodal curve

$$X := C_1 \cup \ell_1 \cup \dots \cup \ell_{d_1}$$

has  $\deg(X) = d$  and  $p_a(X) = g$ , and is flatly smoothable in  $\mathbf{P}^r$  to a curve with general moduli. It remains to check conditions (7) and (8) and we explain only the first part, omitting the details for the second. We pick a line bundle  $\eta \in \text{Pic}^{g_1-1+a}(C_1)$  such that  $H^0(C_1, M_{C_1} \otimes \eta) = 0$ ; the existence of such  $\eta$  is implied by the property (R). We create a line bundle  $\xi$  on the curve  $X$  such that  $\xi_{\ell_j}$  is of degree  $-1$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, d_1$ , whereas

$$(9) \quad \xi_{C_1} = \eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d_1} \ell_j \cdot C_1\right).$$

We claim that  $H^0(X, M_X \otimes \xi) = 0$ . This indeed follows by tensoring and taking cohomology in the Mayer-Vietoris sequence on  $X$ , while using (9), together with the fact that since  $M_{\ell_j} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}^{\oplus(r-1)}$ , one has that  $H^0(\ell_j, M_{\ell_j} \otimes \xi_{\ell_j}) = 0$ .

Finally, note that  $g - 1 + \lfloor \frac{d}{r} \rfloor = g - 1 + a = \deg(\xi)$ , which shows that  $\xi$  has precisely the correct degree to establish IGC.  $\square$

A variation of this idea gives a proof of MRC for general curves of degrees that are congruent to  $\pm 1$  modulo  $r$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $C$  be a general curve of genus  $g$  and fix positive integers  $r, \mu$  and  $d := \mu r \pm 1$ . Then the Minimal Resolution Conjecture holds for a general embedding  $C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r$  of degree  $d$ .*

*Proof.* We treat only the case  $d = \mu r + 1$ , the other case being similar. From Brill-Noether theory, we obtain that  $g \leq (r+1)(\mu-1)+1$ . Applying Theorem 0.1, there exists a smooth curve with general moduli  $C_1 \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  of genus  $g-1$  and degree  $d-1 = \mu r$ , such that the kernel bundle  $M_{C_1}$  enjoys property (R).

Let  $\ell$  be a general 2-secant line to  $C_1$  and set  $X := C_1 \cup \ell \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ . It is easy to verify that  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \cong H^0(C_1, \mathcal{O}_{C_1}(1))$  and  $H^0(X, \omega_X(-1)) \cong H^0(C_1, K_{C_1}(-1))$ , so the Petri map  $\mu_0(X)$  can be assumed to be injective and  $X$  deforms in  $\mathbf{P}^r$  to a curve of genus  $g$  with general moduli. By assumption,  $C_1$  possesses for each  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$  a line bundle  $\eta \in \text{Pic}^{g-2+i\mu}(C_1)$  such that  $H^0(C_1, \bigwedge^i M_{C_1} \otimes \eta) = 0$ . Observing that for all  $i \leq r-1$

$$g-1 + \lfloor \frac{id}{r} \rfloor = g-1 + i\mu$$

(and this is the point where the assumption  $d \equiv 1 \pmod r$  is essential!), we can construct a line bundle  $\xi \in \text{Pic}^{g-1+i\mu}(X)$ , such that  $\xi_\ell = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-1)$  and  $\xi_{C_1} = \eta(C_1 \cdot \ell)$ . Now one checks directly that  $H^0(X, \bigwedge^i M_X \otimes \xi) = 0$ , thus finishing the proof.  $\square$

After this preparations, we are finally ready to prove Theorem 0.2.

*Proof of Theorem 0.2.* We fix  $d, r \geq 1$  such that  $d \geq 2r$ . Using Theorems 0.1 and 1.6, we need to consider only the case when  $\frac{d}{r} \not\equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod r$  and inequality (2) holds. We set  $a := \lfloor \frac{d}{r} \rfloor - 2 \geq 0$  and write  $d = ar + d_1$ , where  $2r+2 \leq d_1 \leq 3r-2$ . We set  $g_1 := \max\{g - ar, 0\}$ . Inequality (2) implies that  $d_1 \geq 2g_1 - 2$ . If  $d_1$  is even, applying Proposition 1.4, there exists a smooth non-special curve  $C_1 \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  of genus  $g_1$  and degree  $d_1$ , such that  $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^r|C_1}^1$  verifies condition (R). If, on the other hand,  $d_1$  is odd, then there is a curve of degree  $d_1 - 1$  and genus  $g_1$  with the same property. We treat only the case when  $d_1$  is even and indicate at the end the modifications in the proof needed in the remaining case.

Setting, as usual,  $M_{C_1} := \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^r|C_1}^1(1)$ , condition (R) amounts to the following vanishing

$$(10) \quad H^0\left(C_1, \bigwedge^i M_{C_1} \otimes \eta\right) = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r-1 \quad \text{and a general } \eta \in \text{Pic}^{g_1-1+\lfloor \frac{id_1}{r} \rfloor}(C_1).$$

To  $C_1$  we attach  $a$  rational normal curves as follows. We fix subsets  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_a \subset C_1$  consisting of general points such that  $|\Delta_j| \leq r+1$  for  $j = 1, \dots, a$  and  $g = g_1 + \sum_{j=1}^a |\Delta_j| - a$ . For each  $1 \leq j \leq a$ , we choose a general rational curve  $R_j \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  intersecting  $C_1$  transversally along the set  $\Delta_j$ , then set

$$X := C_1 \cup R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_a \subset \mathbf{P}^r.$$

Clearly  $p_a(X) = g_1 + \sum_{j=1}^a |\Delta_j| - a = g$  and  $\deg(X) = d$ . Applying Lemma 1.5, we conclude that  $X$  is non-special and flatly smoothable in  $\mathbf{P}^r$ .

Let us fix an index  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ . Via the surjection

$$\text{Pic}^{g-1+\lfloor \frac{id}{r} \rfloor}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^{g-1+a+\lfloor \frac{id_1}{r} \rfloor}(C_1) \times \prod_{j=1}^a \text{Pic}^{i-1}(R_j) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we consider a line bundle  $\xi$  on  $X$  of degree  $g-1 + \lfloor \frac{id}{r} \rfloor$ , such that  $\deg(\xi_{R_j}) = i-1$ , for all  $j$ . We claim that  $\xi_{C_1}$  can be chosen so that  $H^0(X, \bigwedge^i M_X \otimes \xi) = 0$ .

Indeed, we first observe that  $\bigwedge^i M_{R_j}$  is a sum of line bundles of degree  $-i$ , hence  $H^0(R_j, \bigwedge^i M_{R_j} \otimes \xi_{R_j}) = 0$  for degree reasons. Considering the inclusion

$$H^0\left(X, \bigwedge^i M_X \otimes \xi\right) \hookrightarrow H^0\left(C_1, \bigwedge^i M_{C_1} \otimes \xi_{C_1}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^a H^0\left(R_j, \bigwedge^i M_{R_j} \otimes \xi_{R_j}\right)\right)$$

induced by the Mayer-Vietoris sequence on  $X$ , from the previous observation it follows that a non-zero section in  $H^0(X, \bigwedge^i M_X \otimes \xi)$  corresponds to a non-zero section in  $H^0(C_1, \bigwedge^i M_{C_1} \otimes \xi_{C_1}(-\sum_{j=1}^a \Delta_j))$ . Observing that  $\deg(\xi_{C_1}) - \sum_{j=1}^a |\Delta_j| = g_1 - 1 + \lfloor \frac{id_1}{r} \rfloor$ , we choose  $\xi_{C_1}$  so that the vanishing (10) holds for  $\eta = \xi_{C_1}(-\sum_{j=1}^a \Delta_j)$ . We conclude that the kernel bundle of a general smoothing of  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  verifies condition (R).  $\square$

**Remark 1.7.** In the previous proof, if  $d_1$  is odd, then we start with a smooth curve of degree  $d_1 - 1$  and genus  $g_1$ , to which we attach as before  $a - 1$  rational normal curves and one *linearly normal* elliptic curve  $E \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ . Since the restricted cotangent bundle  $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^r|E}^1$  is stable, the rest of the proof follows along similar lines.

We close this section by explaining how our methods solve in many cases *Butler's Conjecture*, as stated in [BBPN] Conjecture 9.5. We have the following result:

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $C$  be a general curve of genus  $g \geq 1$  and integers  $r, d \geq 1$  such that*

$$d + r \lfloor \frac{d}{r} \rfloor \geq 2g + 2r - 2.$$

*Then the bundle  $M_V$  corresponding to a general linear series  $\ell := (L, V) \in G_d^r(C)$  is semistable.*

This result has already been known in many important cases. The semistability of  $M_V$  when  $d \leq g + r$ , in particular if  $V = H^0(C, L)$  is complete, follows from a filtration argument of Lazarsfeld [L1], [EL]; for this reason,  $M_V$  is sometimes referred to as a *Lazarsfeld bundle*. The case  $d \leq 2r$  of Theorem 1.8 is due to Mercat [Me2]; further cases of the conjecture, on which Theorem 1.8 improves, were established in [BH].

*Proof of Theorem 1.8.* The property of the restricted cotangent bundle  $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^r|X_t}^1$  being semistable is obviously open in any flat family of nodal curves  $\{X_t \subset \mathbf{P}^r\}_{t \in T}$ , hence it suffices to construct one example of a nodal curve  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  with  $p_a(X) = g$  and  $\deg(X) = d$ , such that  $M_X$  is semistable (with respect to subsheaves of constant rank) and  $X$  flatly deforms in  $\mathbf{P}^r$  to a curve with general moduli. The curve constructed in the proof of Theorem 0.2 plays exactly this role. First, the Lazarsfeld bundle of the curve  $C$  constructed in Proposition 1.4 is semistable, for  $M_C = f^*(M_V)$ , where  $f : C \rightarrow E$  was a bielliptic cover and  $(B, V) \in G_b^r(E)$ ; since  $M_V$  is semistable, so is  $f^*(M_V)$ . Then one deforms  $C$  to a smooth curve  $C_1 \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ , to which one attaches rational normal curves  $R_1, \dots, R_a \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ , whose respective Lazarsfeld bundles are clearly semistable. Thus the Lazarsfeld bundle of the resulting curve  $X = C_1 \cup R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_a$  is also semistable; since in the course of establishing Theorem 0.2 it was proved that  $X$  deforms to a curve with general moduli, this finishes the proof.  $\square$

2. ULRICH BUNDLES ON  $K3$  SURFACES

Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  be a smooth arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay projective variety of degree  $d$ . A vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$  is said to be an *Ulrich sheaf* if

$$(11) \quad H^i(X, E(-i)) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0 \text{ and } H^i(X, E(-i-1)) = 0 \text{ for } i < \dim(X).$$

This definition is equivalent to the one mentioned in the Introduction, see [ES] Proposition 2.1. An Ulrich sheaf  $E$  enjoys a number of properties we list, see [CH2]:

- (i) The restriction  $E_H$  to a general hyperplane section  $H$  of  $X$  is again an Ulrich bundle.
- (ii)  $h^0(X, E) = d \cdot \text{rk}(E)$  and  $\deg(E|_C) = \text{rk}(E)(d + g - 1)$ , where  $g$  is the genus of a general curvilinear section  $C = X \cap \mathbf{P}^{r-\dim(X)+1}$  of  $X$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{O}_C(-1) \notin \Theta_{E_C}$ , hence the restriction  $E_C$  admits a theta divisor.
- (iii) Ulrich bundles are semistable with respect to the polarization  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ .

Combining properties (i) and (iii), one obtains rational maps between moduli spaces of semistable bundles on  $X$  and on the hyperplane section  $H$  respectively. From now on let  $X = S$  be a smooth surface, in which case the condition (11) amounts to the vanishing of the following cohomology groups

$$H^0(S, E(-1)), H^1(S, E(-1)), H^1(S, E(-2)), H^2(S, E(-2)).$$

This implies the further vanishings  $H^0(S, E(-2)) = 0$  and  $H^2(S, E(-1)) = 0$  (the bundle  $E$  being 0-regular, is 1-regular as well), hence  $\chi(S, E(-1)) = \chi(S, E(-2)) = 0$ , see also [ES] Corollary 2.2. Applying Riemann-Roch to both  $E(-1)$  and  $E(-2)$  and taking the difference of the Euler characteristics, we obtain the relation

$$(12) \quad H \cdot \left( c_1(E) - \frac{\text{rk}(E)}{2}(K_S + 3H) \right) = 0.$$

This calculation motivates the following:

**Definition 2.1.** A *special Ulrich bundle* on a surface  $S$  is a 0-regular rank 2 vector bundle  $E$  with determinant  $\det(E) = K_S(3)$ .

It is proved in [ES] Corollary 2.3 that such bundles are indeed Ulrich. If  $E$  is a special rank 2 Ulrich bundle on a  $K3$  surface  $S$ , from Riemann-Roch  $c_2(E) = \frac{5}{2}H^2 + 4$ . Moreover,  $E$  being 0-regular it is globally generated. A parameter count performed in [ES] Remark 6.4, suggests that  $K3$  surfaces could possess rank 2 Ulrich bundles. Our Theorem 0.4 confirms this expectation and we show that the hypothesis of [ES] Proposition 6.2 is verified for Lazarsfeld-Mukai vector bundles on many polarized  $K3$  surfaces. An immediate consequence of the relation (12) is the following fact:

**Corollary 2.2.** A  $K3$  surface with Picard number 1 carries no Ulrich bundles of odd rank.

In even rank, for each  $a \geq 1$  one looks for Ulrich bundles  $E$  on  $S$  with  $\text{rk}(E) = 2a$  and  $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}_S(3a)$  and  $c_2(E) = 9a^2s - 4a(s-1)$ . Natural candidates for  $E$  are the LM bundles  $E_{C,A}$ , where  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_S(3a)|$  is a smooth curve and  $A \in W_{9a^2s-4a(s-1)}^{2a-1}(C)$  is a complete and base point free linear series. The curve  $C$  has  $K_C = \mathcal{O}_C(3a)$  and  $\text{Cliff}(C) \leq \text{Cliff}(\mathcal{O}_C(1)) = 6as - 2s - 2$ , with equality for instance when  $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot H$ . Note that it is no means certain that such an  $A$  exists, and if so, that it leads to an Ulrich bundle. Theorem 0.4 establishes these facts in the most important case,  $a = 1$ .

**Remark 2.3.** The hypothesis in Theorem 0.4 that the Clifford index of a cubic section  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_S(3)|$  be computed by  $\mathcal{O}_S(1)$  is not restrictive. For instance, it is satisfied if  $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$  is a quartic surface, when  $C$  is a  $(3, 4)$  complete intersection in  $\mathbf{P}^3$ . From [L2] page 185 we obtain that  $\text{gon}(C) \geq 8$ . Since  $C$  has Clifford dimension 1, cf. [CP], it follows that  $\text{Cliff}(C) \geq 6 = \text{Cliff}(\mathcal{O}_C(1))$ . Another case where the hypothesis is verified is when  $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot H$ . The only place in the proof where this condition is used is to ensure that  $C$  carries a base point free pencil of degree  $\frac{5H^2}{4} + 4$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(S, H)$  be a polarized  $K3$  surface of genus  $g$  and  $C \in |H|$  a general curve in its linear system having gonality  $k$ . Then  $C$  carries a complete, base point free pencil  $\mathfrak{g}_{g-k+3}^1$ .*

*Proof.* The case  $\rho(g, 1, k) > 0$  follows immediately, for in this situation  $g = 2k - 3$  and hence  $g - k + 3 = k$ . We may assume that  $\rho(g, 1, k) \leq 0$ . When the Clifford dimension of a general curve in  $|H|$  equals 1, from [AF] Theorem 3.12 and Remark 3.13, one obtains that for a general  $C \in |H|$ , every component of  $W_{g-k+2}^1(C)$  has dimension  $g - 2k + 2$ . Via excess linear series it then follows that each component of  $W_{g-k+3}^1(C)$  has dimension  $\dim(W_{g-k+2}^1(C)) + 2 = g - 2k + 4 (= \rho(g, 1, g - k + 3))$ .

Since  $\dim(C + W_{g-k+2}^1(C)) = g - 2k + 3$ , we conclude that the general element in every component of  $W_{g-k+3}^1(C)$  is base point free and complete. The case when the general curve in  $|H|$  has Clifford dimension at least 2, will not be needed in this paper, but it can be deduced along the lines of [AF] Section 5.  $\square$

The following result is needed in the proof of Theorem 0.4.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $(S, H)$  be a polarized  $K3$  surface with  $H^2 = 2s \geq 4$  and  $D \in |2H|$  a smooth quadric section. Then the following estimate holds*

$$\dim \{ \Gamma \in D_{5s+4} : h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(\Gamma - H)) \geq 1 \} \leq 2s + 7.$$

*Proof.* By direct calculation,  $\varphi_H : D \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{s+1}$  is a smooth half-canonical curve with  $\deg(D) = D \cdot H = 4s$  and  $g(D) = 4s + 1$ . We consider the incidence variety

$$\mathcal{V} := \left\{ (\Gamma, \zeta) \in D_{5s+4} \times D_{s+4} : \Gamma \in |\mathcal{O}_D(H + \zeta)| \right\},$$

together with the projections  $\pi_1 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow D_{5s+4}$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow D_{s+4}$ . Note that  $\pi_1(\mathcal{V})$  is precisely the variety whose dimension we have to compute. To estimate  $\dim(\mathcal{V})$  we look at the fibres of  $\pi_2$ . By Riemann-Roch,  $h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(H + \zeta)) = h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(H - \zeta)) + s + 4$ , for every  $\zeta \in D_{s+4}$ . In particular, for a general divisor  $\zeta \in D_{s+4}$ , we obtain that  $\pi_2^{-1}(\zeta) = \mathbf{P}H^0(\mathcal{O}_D(H + \zeta)) \cong \mathbf{P}^{s+3}$ , and hence  $\mathcal{V}$  has a unique irreducible component of dimension  $2s + 7$  that dominates  $D_{s+4}$ .

For  $i \geq 1$ , the locally closed variety  $\Sigma_i := \{ \zeta \in D_{s+4} : h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(H - \zeta)) = i \}$  has dimension at most  $\dim |\mathcal{O}_D(H)| - i + 1 = s + 2 - i$ . If  $\zeta \in \Sigma_i$  then  $\pi_2^{-1}(\zeta) \cong \mathbf{P}^{s+i+3}$ , hence  $\dim \pi_2^{-1}(\Sigma_i) \leq \dim \Sigma_i + s + i + 3 \leq 2s + 5$ . To sum up, all components of  $\mathcal{V}$  are of dimension  $\leq 2s + 7$ , implying the same conclusion for  $\dim(\pi_1(\mathcal{V}))$ .  $\square$

We now proceed to show that polarized  $K3$  surfaces satisfying a mild Brill-Noether genericity condition carry stable rank 2 Ulrich bundles.

*Proof of Theorem 0.4.* We start with a K3 surface  $S \subset \mathbf{P}^{s+1}$  and let  $H \in |\mathcal{O}_S(1)|$  be a hyperplane section with  $H^2 = 2s$ . We fix a smooth curve  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_S(3)|$  and compute its genus  $g(C) = 9s + 1$ . Invoking [CP], note that  $C$  has Clifford dimension 1 and clearly  $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{O}_C(1)) = 4s - 2$ . Our hypothesis implies  $\text{gon}(C) = 4s$ , hence by Lemma 2.4,  $C$  possesses a complete base point free pencil  $A \in W_{5s+4}^1(C)$ . The candidate Ulrich bundle is the Lazarsfeld-Mukai bundle  $E := E_{C,A}$ . More precisely, the general point  $(C, A)$  of any dominating component  $\mathcal{W}$  of the relative space  $\mathcal{W}_{5s+4}^1(|\mathcal{O}_S(3)|)$  over the linear system  $|\mathcal{O}_S(3)|$  corresponds to a complete and base point free pencil  $\mathfrak{g}_{5s+4}^1$ .

Since the Ulrich condition (11) is open, we need to ensure that the *non-Ulrich locus* does not coincide with the whole  $\mathcal{W}$ .

*Step 1.* For a general point  $(C, A) \in \mathcal{W}$ , we verify the partial Ulrich condition

$$(13) \quad H^0(S, E_{C,A}(-1)) = 0.$$

We shall find an explicit parametrization of the failure locus of (13) and count parameters. Consider the following Grassmann bundle over the moduli space of LM bundles

$$\mathcal{G} := \left\{ (E_{C,A}, \Lambda) : (C, A) \in \mathcal{W}, \Lambda \in G(2, H^0(S, E_{C,A})) \right\}.$$

Recall from [L1] or [AF] the following dimension estimate

$$\dim(\mathcal{W}) \geq \dim|\mathcal{O}_S(3)| + \rho(9s + 1, 1, 5s + 4) = 10s + 6.$$

Since the projection  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is dominant with fibre  $\mathbf{P}H^0(S, E_{C,A} \otimes E_{C,A}^\vee)$  over a general point  $(C, A) \in \mathcal{W}$ , the estimate  $\dim(\mathcal{G}) \geq 10s + 6$  holds as well. Since  $h^0(S, E_{C,A}) = h^0(C, A) + h^1(C, A) = 4s$ , the dimension of the space of LM bundles  $E_{C,A}$  corresponding to pairs  $(C, A) \in \mathcal{W}$  has dimension at least  $\dim(\mathcal{G}) - \dim G(2, H^0(E_{C,A})) \geq 2s + 10$ . Observe that the local dimension at  $E_{C,A}$  of the moduli space  $\text{Spl}(2, \mathcal{O}_S(3), 5s + 4)$  of simple vector bundles of rank 2 on  $S$  with first Chern class  $\mathcal{O}_S(3)$  and second Chern class  $5s + 4$  is also equal to  $c_1^2(E) - 2\text{rk}(E)\chi(E) + 2\text{rk}(E)^2 + 2 = 2s + 10$ , that is, a general point of  $\text{Spl}(2, \mathcal{O}_S(3), 5s + 4)$  corresponds to a bundle  $E_{C,A}$ .

Next, we consider the projective bundle

$$\mathcal{P} := \{ (E_{C,A}, \ell) : (C, A) \in \mathcal{W}, \ell \in \mathbf{P}H^0(S, E_{C,A}) \},$$

with  $\dim(\mathcal{P}) \geq 6s + 9$ . Any LM bundle  $E_{C,A}$  is given by an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{\ell} E_{C,A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma/S}(3) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Gamma \in S^{[5s+4]}$  is a 0-dimensional subscheme which satisfies the *Cayley-Bacharach* (CB) condition with respect to  $|\mathcal{O}_S(3)|$ . This condition is necessary in order to obtain locally free extensions, cf. [L2] page 177. Note that

$$\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma/S}(3), \mathcal{O}_S) = 1;$$

indeed, from the exact sequence defining  $\Gamma$  and from  $h^0(S, E_{C,A}^\vee) = h^1(S, E_{C,A}) = 0$ , we obtain an isomorphism  $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma/S}(3), \mathcal{O}_S)$ . In particular,  $\Gamma$  determines uniquely the LM bundle  $E_{C,A}$  and the map  $\varphi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow S^{[5s+4]}$  given by  $\varphi([E_{C,A}, \ell]) := \Gamma$  is generically injective onto its image.

Since  $H^0(S, E_{C,A}(-1)) \cong H^0(S, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma/S}(2))$ , we shall show that cycles  $\Gamma \in \text{Im}(\varphi)$  with  $H^0(S, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma/S}(2)) \neq 0$  depend on at most  $6s + 8 \leq \dim(\mathcal{P}) - 1$  parameters. To this end, we consider the incidence variety, see also [CKM2] Proposition 3.18 for the case  $s = 2$ ,

$$\mathcal{Z} := \left\{ (D, \Gamma) : D \in |\mathcal{O}_S(2)|, \Gamma \subset D \text{ satisfies CB with respect to } |\mathcal{O}_S(3)| \right\}.$$

We fix a smooth section  $D \in |\mathcal{O}_S(2)|$  and an effective divisor  $\Gamma \in D_{5s+4}$ . For a point  $p \in \text{supp}(\Gamma)$ , we write  $\Gamma = \Gamma_p + p$ , where  $\Gamma_p \in D_{5s+3}$ . Via the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(1)) \xrightarrow{+D} H^0(S, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma/S}(3)) \longrightarrow H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(3H - \Gamma)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we rephrase the Cayley-Bacharach condition for  $\Gamma$  as requiring that the isomorphism  $H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(3H - \Gamma)) \cong H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(3H - \Gamma_p))$  hold, or equivalently by Riemann-Roch,

$$h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(\Gamma_p - H)) = h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(\Gamma - H)) - 1, \quad \text{for each } p \in \text{supp}(\Gamma).$$

In particular,  $h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(\Gamma - H)) \geq 1$ . Via Lemma 2.5, we conclude that the dimension of each fibre of the map  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow |\mathcal{O}_S(2)|$  does not exceed  $2s + 7$ ; thus  $\dim(\mathcal{Z}) \leq \dim |\mathcal{O}_S(2)| + 2s + 7 = 6s + 8$ , which establishes condition (13) for a general  $(C, A) \in \mathcal{W}$ .

*Step 2.* The partial Ulrich condition (13) implies the full Ulrich condition (11).

By Serre duality, using the isomorphism  $E^\vee \cong E(-3)$ , the condition (11) reduces to  $H^0(S, E(-1)) = H^1(S, E(-1)) = 0$ . Twisting the sequence (3) by  $\mathcal{O}_S(2)$  and taking cohomology, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(S, E(-1)) \rightarrow H^0(C, A) \otimes H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(2)) \rightarrow H^0(C, A(2)) \rightarrow H^1(S, E(-1)) \rightarrow 0,$$

and an isomorphism

$$H^1(C, A(2)) = H^0(S, E(-2))^\vee = 0,$$

from (13); hence, for a general pair  $(C, A) \in \mathcal{W}$ , the bundle  $A(2)$  is non-special, which implies  $h^0(C, A(2)) = 8s + 4$ . Since  $h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(2)) = 4s + 2$ , we obtain  $H^1(S, E(-1)) = 0$ .

We have proved that the failure locus of the Ulrich condition for  $E_{C,A}$  is a genuine effective divisor in  $\mathcal{W}$  (or rather in the open subset of  $\mathcal{W}$  given by the vanishing of  $H^0(S, E_{C,A}(-2))$ ).

*Step 3.* We prove that  $E = E_{C,A}$  is stable. Simplicity already follows from [AF] Remark 3.13 and suppose  $E$  is not stable. Following [CH2] Theorem 2.9, any such  $E$  is presented as an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $M, N \in \text{Pic}(S)$  are Ulrich bundles. Since  $\chi(S, M(-1))$ ,  $\chi(S, M(-2))$ ,  $\chi(S, N(-1))$  and  $\chi(S, N(-2))$  vanish, we obtain the following numerical conditions:

$$M^2 = N^2 = 4s - 4 \quad \text{and} \quad M \cdot H = N \cdot H = 3s.$$

Furthermore,  $M \otimes N = \mathcal{O}_S(3)$  and  $M \not\cong N$ , because the bundle  $E$  is simple. In particular,  $h^0(S, M \otimes N^\vee) = h^0(S, N \otimes M^\vee) = 0$  which implies that  $h^1(S, M \otimes N^\vee) = 2s + 6$ . Hence  $\dim \mathbf{P}(\text{Ext}^1(N, M)) = 2s + 5$ . Since the space of special Ulrich bundles has dimension  $2s + 10$  and  $\text{Pic}(S)$  is discrete, we conclude that a general  $E$  is stable.



## 3. RESTRICTED LAZARSFELD-MUKAI BUNDLES

We fix a  $K3$  surface  $S$ , a curve  $C \subset S$  of genus  $g$  and a globally generated linear series  $A \in W_d^r(C)$ , with  $h^0(C, A) = r + 1$ . Using the sequence (3) we form the vector bundle  $F = F_{C,A}$ ; by dualizing, we obtain an exact sequence for  $E = E_{C,A} := F_{C,A}^\vee$ :

$$(14) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^0(C, A)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow E_{C,A} \longrightarrow K_C \otimes A^\vee \longrightarrow 0.$$

It is well-known [Mu], [L1] that  $c_1(E) = [C]$  and  $c_2(E) = d$ ; moreover  $h^0(S, F) = 0$  and  $h^1(S, E) = h^1(S, F) = 0$ . Finally, one also has that  $\chi(S, E \otimes F) = 2 - 2\rho(g, r, d)$ ; in particular, if  $E$  is a simple bundle, then  $\rho(g, r, d) \geq 0$ . Assuming furthermore that  $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot C$ , it is also well-known that both  $E$  and  $F$  are  $C$ -stable bundles on  $S$ .

We begin by showing that in rank 2, irrespective of the structure of  $\text{Pic}(S)$ , a splitting of the restriction  $E|_C$  can only be induced by an elliptic pencil on the surface.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $C \subset S$  be as above and a base point free pencil  $A \in W_d^1(C)$  of degree  $2 < d < g - 1$  with  $K_C \otimes A^\vee$  globally generated. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E|_C \cong A \oplus (K_C \otimes A^\vee)$ ;
- (ii) *There exists an elliptic pencil  $N \in \text{Pic}(S)$  such that  $N|_C = A$ .*

*Proof.* (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let  $N$  be an elliptic pencil with  $N|_C = A$  and write the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N^\vee \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow N(-C) \longrightarrow 0,$$

whose restriction to  $C$  provides a splitting of the dual of the sequence (4) characterizing  $E|_C$ . Observe that since  $d < g - 1$ , there is no morphism from  $A^\vee$  to  $K_C^\vee \otimes A$ .

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Conversely, suppose that  $E|_C = A \oplus (K_C \otimes A^\vee)$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}(K_C \otimes A^\vee, -)$  to the sequence (3), we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(K_C \otimes A^\vee, F) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(K_C \otimes A^\vee, H^0(C, A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(K_C \otimes A^\vee, A).$$

Since the extension class  $[E] \in \text{Ext}^1(K_C \otimes A^\vee, H^0(C, A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S)$  maps to the trivial extension in  $\text{Ext}^1(K_C \otimes A^\vee, A)$ , it follows that there exists a rank 2 bundle  $G$  on  $S$  which fits into a commutative diagram

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & H^0(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & K_C \otimes A^\vee & \xlongequal{\quad} & K_C \otimes A^\vee & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Using that  $H^0(S, F) = H^1(S, F) = 0$ , we obtain  $H^0(S, G) \cong H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^\vee)$ . Since  $h^0(S, E) = h^0(C, A) + h^1(C, A) = h^0(C, A) + h^0(S, G)$ , and  $h^1(S, E) = 0$ , it follows that  $H^1(S, G) = 0$ . From the second row of (15), we find that  $H^0(S, G(-C)) = 0$ .

Furthermore, we compute  $c_1(G) = 0$  and  $c_2(G) = 2d - 2g + 2$ . So  $c_2(G) < 0 = c_1^2(G)$ , that is,  $G$  violates Bogomolov's inequality, and then it sits in an extension

$$(16) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow M^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma/S} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a zero-dimensional subscheme of  $S$ , and  $M \in \text{Pic}(S)$  is such that  $M^2 > 0$  and  $M \cdot H > 0$  for any ample line bundle  $H$  on  $S$ . In particular,  $H^0(S, M^\vee) = 0$ , and hence  $H^0(S, M) \cong H^0(S, G) \cong H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^\vee) \neq 0$ . On the other hand  $H^0(S, F) = 0$ , which implies that the composed map  $M \rightarrow G \rightarrow K_C \otimes A^\vee$  is non-zero; in fact, we claim that it is surjective, that is,  $M|_C = K_C \otimes A^\vee$ .

Suppose that  $M|_C = K_C \otimes A^\vee(-D')$ , with  $D' \neq 0$  an effective divisor on  $C$ . Since  $h^0(S, G(-C)) = 0$ , we have  $h^0(S, M(-C)) = 0$ , which implies  $h^0(S, M) \leq h^0(C, M|_C)$ . Since we assumed  $K_C \otimes A^\vee$  to be globally generated,  $h^0(S, M) \leq h^0(C, K_C \otimes A^\vee(-D')) < h^0(C, K_C \otimes A^\vee) = h^0(S, M)$ , a contradiction.

Setting  $N := M^\vee(C)$ , we have shown that  $N|_C = A$  and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M^\vee \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $h^0(S, M^\vee) = h^1(S, M^\vee) = 0$ , it follows that  $H^0(S, N) = H^0(C, A)$ . To see that  $N$  is globally generated, we observe that  $N$  is a quotient of  $E$ . □

**3.1. Lazarsfeld-Mukai bundles of higher rank.** We study when the restriction  $E|_C$  is a simple vector bundle. Our main tool is a variant of the Bogomolov instability theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface and  $C \subset S$  a smooth curve of genus  $g \geq 4$  such that  $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot C$ . We fix integers  $r$  and  $d$  such that  $\rho(g, r, d) \geq 0$ ,  $g \geq 2r + 4$  and  $d \leq \frac{3r(g-1)}{2r+2}$ . Then for any linear series  $A \in W_d^r(C)$  such that  $h^0(C, A) = r + 1$  and  $K_C \otimes A^\vee$  is globally generated, the restricted LM bundle  $E|_C$  is simple.*

Note that in the special case  $\rho(g, r, d) = 0$ , the constraints from the previous statement give rise to the bound  $g > 2r + 5$ .

*Proof. Step 1.* We first establish that the natural extension (4), that is,

$$0 \longrightarrow Q_A \longrightarrow E|_C \longrightarrow K_C \otimes A^\vee \longrightarrow 0$$

is non-trivial. Assuming that (4) is trivial. Then there is an injective morphism from  $K_C \otimes A^\vee$  to  $E|_C$  and hence a surjective map  $F(C) \rightarrow A$ . Then  $G := \text{Ker}\{F(C) \rightarrow A\}$  is a vector bundle of rank  $r + 1$  with Chern classes  $c_1(G) = (r - 1)[C]$  and

$$c_2(G) = c_2(F(C)) - c_1(F(C)) \cdot C + \deg(A) = 2d + r(r - 3)(g - 1).$$

We compute the discriminant of  $G$

$$\Delta(G) = 2\text{rk}(G)c_2(G) - (\text{rk}(G) - 1)c_1^2(G) = 4d(r + 1) - 8r(g - 1) < 0,$$

hence  $G$  is unstable. Applying [HL] Theorem 7.3.4, there exists a subsheaf  $M \subset G$  with

$$\xi_{M,G}^2 \geq -\frac{\Delta(G)}{r(r + 1)^2},$$

where  $\xi_{M,G} = c_1(M)/\text{rk}(M) - c_1(G)/\text{rk}(G)$ . Setting  $c_1(M) = k \cdot [C]$  and  $s := \text{rk}(M)$ , the previous inequality becomes

$$\left(\frac{k}{s} - \frac{r-1}{r+1}\right)^2 (2g-2) \geq \frac{8r(g-1) - 4d(r+1)}{r(r+1)^2}.$$

Note that  $M$  destabilizes  $G$ , which coupled with the stability of  $F(C)$  yields

$$\frac{r-1}{r+1} \leq \frac{k}{s} < \frac{r}{r+1},$$

implying after manipulations  $2d(r+1) > 3(g-1)r$ , thus contradicting the hypothesis.

*Step 2.* Assuming that  $E|_C$  is non-simple, we deduce that the extension (4) splits. We consider the exact sequence

$$H^0(S, E \otimes F) \longrightarrow H^0(C, E \otimes F|_C) \longrightarrow H^1(S, E \otimes F(-C)).$$

and it suffices to show that  $H^1(S, E \otimes F(-C)) = 0$ . Assuming this not to be the case, twisting (3) by  $E(-C)$  induces the exact sequence

$$H^0(C, A \otimes E|_C \otimes K_C^\vee) \longrightarrow H^1(S, E \otimes F(-C)) \longrightarrow H^0(C, A) \otimes H^1(S, E(-C)).$$

Since  $H^1(S, E(-C)) = 0$ , we obtain that  $H^0(C, A \otimes E|_C \otimes K_C^\vee) \neq 0$ . Furthermore,  $Q_A$  is a stable bundle and since  $\mu(Q_A \otimes A \otimes K_C^\vee) < 0$ , we find  $H^0(C, Q_A \otimes A \otimes K_C^\vee) = 0$ , hence we also have the sequence induced from (4) after twisting with  $A \otimes K_C^\vee$

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(C, E|_C \otimes K_C^\vee \otimes A) \longrightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \longrightarrow H^1(C, K_C^\vee \otimes A \otimes Q_A).$$

We conclude that the coboundary map  $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow H^1(C, Q_A \otimes A \otimes K_C^\vee)$  is trivial, that is,  $E|_C \cong Q_A \oplus (K_C \otimes A^\vee)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. STABILITY OF RESTRICTED LAZARSFELD-MUKAI BUNDLES

**4.1. The rank 2 case.** If  $C \subset S$  is an ample curve, then with one exception ( $g = 10$  and  $C$  a smooth plane sextic),  $\text{Cliff}(C)$  is computed by a pencil, see [CP] Proposition 3.3. We show that in rank 2 the semistability of the LM bundle is preserved under restriction.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface,  $C \subset S$  an ample curve of genus  $g \geq 4$  and  $A \in W_d^1(C)$  a pencil computing  $\text{Cliff}(C)$ . If  $E_{C,A}$  is  $C$ -semistable on  $S$ , then  $E|_C$  is also semistable on  $C$ .*

*Proof.* We write  $A = \mathcal{O}_C(D)$ , where  $D$  is an effective divisor on  $C$ . Suppose  $E|_C$  is unstable and consider an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L_1 \longrightarrow E|_C \longrightarrow K_C \otimes L_1^\vee \longrightarrow 0,$$

with  $\deg(L_1) \geq g$ . Since  $L_1 \not\subseteq A$ , the composed map  $L_1 \rightarrow E|_C \rightarrow K_C \otimes A^\vee$  must be non-zero, that is,  $L_1 = K_C(-D - D_1)$ , where  $D_1$  is an effective divisor on  $C$ . Set  $d_1 := \deg(D_1)$ . Consider the elementary modification

$$(17) \quad 0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow A(D_1) \longrightarrow 0$$

induced by the composition  $E \rightarrow E|_C \rightarrow A(D_1)$ . Then  $c_1(V) = 0$  and  $c_2(V) = 2d + d_1 - 2g + 2 < 0$ , hence  $V$  is unstable with respect to any polarization and fits in a sequence

$$(18) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow M^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma/S} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Gamma \subset S$  is a 0-dimensional subscheme and  $M$  is a divisor class that intersects positively any ample class on  $S$  and with  $M^2 > 0$ . From (17) and (18) we find that  $H^0(S, M) \cong H^0(S, V)$  and  $H^0(S, M(-C)) = 0$ . Dualizing (17), we obtain the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow V^\vee \longrightarrow K_C(-D - D_1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

from which, using that  $V \cong V^\vee$ , we obtain  $H^0(S, V) = H^0(C, K_C(-D - D_1))$ .

We claim that  $\text{Cliff}(A(D_1)) = \text{Cliff}(C)$ . Recall that  $h^0(S, E) = h^0(C, A) + h^1(C, A)$ , and, from the sequence (17) we write  $h^0(S, E) \leq h^0(C, A(D_1)) + h^1(C, A(D_1))$ . By assumption, the pencil  $A$  computes  $\text{Cliff}(C)$ , which implies

$$\text{Cliff}(C) = g + 1 - h^0(A) - h^1(A) \geq g + 1 - h^0(A(D_1)) - h^1(A(D_1)) = \text{Cliff}(A(D_1)).$$

It follows that  $\text{Cliff}(A(D_1)) = \text{Cliff}(C)$ , in particular  $K_C(-D - D_1)$  is globally generated.

Clearly,  $M \not\subset F$ , hence the composition  $\varphi : M \rightarrow V \rightarrow K_C(-D - D_1)$  is non-zero and one writes  $\text{Im}(\varphi) = K_C(-D - D_1 - D_2)$ , where  $D_2$  is an effective divisor on  $C$ . If  $D_2 \neq 0$ , then one has the sequence of inequalities

$$h^0(S, M) \leq h^0(C, K_C(-D - D_1 - D_2)) < h^0(C, K_C(-D - D_1)) = h^0(S, M),$$

a contradiction. Therefore  $M|_C = K_C(-D - D_1)$ . Viewing  $M$  as a subsheaf of  $E$ , we find  $\mu(M) = M \cdot C = \deg(L_1) > \mu(E)$ , thus bringing the proof to an end.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** The same proof shows that if  $E_{C,A}$  is  $C$ -stable, then the restriction  $E|_C$  is stable too. Observe that in this case,  $E_{C,A}$  being simple, necessarily  $d = \lfloor \frac{g+3}{2} \rfloor$ , see [L1]. Conversely, if  $C' \subset S$  is an ample curve of genus  $g$  and gonality  $\lfloor \frac{g+3}{2} \rfloor$ , then it was shown in [LC] that the LM bundle  $E_{C,A}$  corresponding to a general curve  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_S(C')|$  and a pencil  $A \in W^1_{\lfloor \frac{g+3}{2} \rfloor}(C)$  is  $C$ -semistable (even stable when  $g$  is odd).

**4.2. Stability of rank 4 Lazarsfeld-Mukai bundles.** We show that restrictions of LM bundles of rank 4 on very general  $K3$  surfaces of genus  $g \geq 20$  are stable. Similar results were established in [V] and [FO] for rank 2 and 3 respectively. We fix integers  $i \geq 6$  and  $\rho \geq 0$  and write

$$g := 4i - 4 + \rho \quad \text{and} \quad d := 3i + \rho,$$

so that  $\rho(g, 3, d) = \rho$ . Let  $S$  be a  $K3$  surface and  $C \subset S$  a curve of genus  $g$  such that  $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot C$ , and pick a globally generated linear series  $A \in W_d^3(C)$  with  $h^0(C, A) = 4$ .

*Proof of Theorem 0.5.* Our previous results show that  $E|_C$  is simple, hence indecomposable. Suppose  $E|_C$  is not stable and fix a maximal destabilizing sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow E|_C \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

Put  $d_N := \deg(N)$  and  $d_M := \deg(M) = 2g - 2 - d_N$ . Since  $M$  is destabilizing,

$$(19) \quad \frac{d_M}{\text{rk}(M)} \geq \frac{g-1}{2}, \quad \frac{d_N}{\text{rk}(N)} \leq \frac{g-1}{2}.$$

The bundle  $N$ , being a quotient of  $E$ , is globally generated. Since  $H^0(C, E|_C^\vee) = 0$ , clearly  $N \neq \mathcal{O}_C$ , therefore  $h^0(C, N) \geq 2$ . From the inequalities (19) it follows that  $\text{rk}(N) > 1$ , because  $C$  has maximal gonality.

*Step 1.* We prove that  $M$  is a line bundle. Assume that, on the contrary,  $\text{rk}(M) = \text{rk}(N) = 2$  and consider the elementary modification  $G := \text{Ker}\{E \rightarrow N\}$ . Its Chern classes are given as follows:

$$c_1(G) = -[C], \quad c_2(G) = d + d_N - 2(g - 1),$$

and its discriminant equals  $\Delta(G) = -64i + 110 + 8d_N - 14\rho < 0$ , because of (19). In particular, there exists a saturated subsheaf  $F \subset G$  which verifies the inequalities

$$(20) \quad \mu(G) \leq \mu(F) < \mu(E), \quad \text{and}$$

$$(21) \quad \xi_{F,G}^2 \geq -\frac{\Delta(G)}{48}.$$

Write  $c_1(F) = \alpha \cdot [C]$  and  $\text{rk}(F) = \beta \leq 3$ . The above inequality (21) becomes

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 (2g - 2) \geq -\frac{\Delta(G)}{48}.$$

We apply (20) for  $\mu(F) = \alpha(2g - 2)/\beta$  and obtain

$$-\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\beta} < \frac{1}{4},$$

hence  $\alpha = 0$ , and the inequality (21) reads in this case  $d_N \geq 5i - 10 + \rho$ . Recalling that  $d_N \leq g - 1 = 4i - 5 + \rho$ , we obtain a contradiction whenever  $i \geq 6$ .

*Step 2.* We construct an elementary modification, in order to reach a contradiction.

From (19), we have  $d_M \geq \frac{g-1}{2}$ . The composite map  $M \rightarrow E|_C \rightarrow K_C \otimes A^\vee$  is not zero, for else  $M \hookrightarrow Q_A$  and since  $\mu(Q_A \otimes M^\vee) < 0$ , one contradicts the semistability of  $Q_A$ . We set  $A_1 := K_C \otimes A^\vee \otimes M^\vee$  and obtain a surjection  $F(C)|_C \rightarrow A \otimes A_1$  inducing, as before, an elementary modification  $V := \text{Ker}\{F(C) \rightarrow A \otimes A_1\}$ .

By direct computation we show that  $\Delta(V) < 0$ . Indeed, we compute

$$c_1(V) = 2 \cdot [C], \quad c_2(V) = d + 2g - 2 - d_M, \quad \text{hence}$$

$$\Delta(V) = 8c_2(V) - 3c_1^2(V) = 8(d - d_M - g + 1) = 8(5 - d_M - i) < 0.$$

We obtain a destabilizing sheaf  $P \subset V$ , with  $\text{rk}(P) = b \leq 3$  and  $c_1(P) := a \cdot [C]$ , such that the following inequalities are both satisfied

$$(22) \quad \left(\frac{a}{b} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (2g - 2) \geq -\frac{\Delta(V)}{48} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(V) \leq \mu(P) < \mu(F(C)).$$

The second inequality gives  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{a}{b} < \frac{3}{4}$ , which leaves with two possibilities: either  $a = 1$  and  $b = 2$ , when via (22) one finds that  $\Delta(V) \geq 0$ , a contradiction, or else  $a = 2$  and  $b = 3$ , when inequalities (22) and (19) clash.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AF] M. Aprodu and G. Farkas, *The Green Conjecture for smooth curves lying on arbitrary  $K3$  surfaces*, *Compositio Math.* **147** (2011), 839-851.
- [B1] A. Beauville, *Some stable vector bundles with reducible theta divisors*, *Manuscripta Math.* **110** (2003), 343-349.
- [B2] A. Beauville, *Determinantal hypersurfaces*, *Michigan Math. Journal* **48** (2000), 39-64.
- [BH] E. Ballico and G. Hein, *On the stability of the restriction of  $TP^n$  to projective curves*, *Archiv der Mathematik* **71** (1998), 80-88.
- [BBPN] U. Bhosle, L. Brambila-Paz and P. Newstead, *On coherent systems of type  $(n, d, n + 1)$  on Petri curves*, *Manuscripta Math.* **126** (2008), 409-441.
- [CH1] M. Casanellas and R. Hartshorne, *ACM bundles on cubic surfaces*, arXiv:0801.3600, to appear in the *Journal of the European Math. Soc.*
- [CH2] M. Casanellas and R. Hartshorne, *Stable Ulrich bundles*, *International Journal of Math.* **23** (2012).
- [CEFS] A. Chiodo, D. Eisenbud, G. Farkas and F.-O. Schreyer, *Syzygies of torsion bundles and the geometry of the level  $\ell$  modular varieties over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$* , arXiv:1205.0661, to appear in *Inventiones Math.*
- [CP] C. Ciliberto and G. Pareschi, *Pencils of minimal degree on curves on a  $K3$  surface*, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* **460** (1995), 15-36.
- [CM] M. Coppens and G. Martens, *Linear series on general  $k$ -gonal curves*, *Abhandlungen aus dem mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg* **69** (1999), 347-371.
- [CKM1] E. Coskun, R. Kulkarni and Y. Mustopa, *The geometry of Ulrich bundles on del Pezzo surfaces*, arXiv:1105.2575.
- [CKM2] E. Coskun, R. Kulkarni and Y. Mustopa, *Pfaffian quartic surfaces and representations of Clifford algebras*, arXiv:1107.1522, to appear in *Documenta Mathematica*.
- [EL] L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld, *Stability and restrictions of Picard bundles with an application to the normal bundles of elliptic curves*, in: *Complex Projective Geometry*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 179, Cambridge University Press 1992, 149-156.
- [ES] D. Eisenbud and F.-O. Schreyer, *Resultants and Chow forms via exterior syzygies*, *Journal of the American Math. Soc.* **16** (2003), 537-579.
- [EPSW] D. Eisenbud, S. Popescu, F.-O. Schreyer and C. Walter, *Exterior algebra methods for the Minimal Resolution Conjecture*, *Duke Math. Journal* **112** (2002), 379-395.
- [FMP] G. Farkas, M. Mustață and M. Popa, *Divisors on  $\mathcal{M}_{g,g+1}$  and the Minimal Resolution Conjecture for points on canonical curves*, *Annales Sci. de L'École Normale Supérieure* **36** (2003), 553-581.
- [FO] G. Farkas and A. Ortega, *Higher rank Brill-Noether on sections of  $K3$  surfaces*, *International Journal of Math.* **23** (2012), 1250075.
- [G] M. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the cohomology of projective varieties*, *Journal of Differential Geometry* **19** (1984), 125-171.
- [GMN] I. Grzegarczyk, V. Mercat and P.E. Newstead, *Stable bundles of rank 2 with 4 sections*, *International Journal of Math.* **22** (2011), 1743-1762.
- [HS] A. Hirschowitz and C. Simpson, *La résolution minimale de l'arrangement d'un grand nombre de points dans  $P^n$* , *Inventiones Math.* **126** (1996), 467-503.
- [HL] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of the moduli space of sheaves*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press 2010.
- [L1] R. Lazarsfeld, *A sampling of vector bundle techniques in the study of linear series*, in: *Lectures on Riemann Surfaces*, World Scientific, 1989, 500-559.
- [L2] R. Lazarsfeld, *Lectures in linear series*, in: *Complex algebraic geometry (J. Kollár-ed.)*, IAS/Park City mathematics series, American Mathematical Society 1997, 163-224.
- [LN] H. Lange and P.E. Newstead, *Clifford indices for vector bundles on curves*, arXiv:0811.4680, in: *Affine Flag Manifolds and Principal Bundles (A. Schmitt-ed.)*, Trends in Mathematics, 165-202, Birkhäuser (2010).
- [LC] M. Lelli-Chiesa, *Stability of rank 3 Lazarsfeld-Mukai bundles on  $K3$  surfaces*, arXiv:1112.2938, to appear in the *Proceedings of the London Math. Society*.
- [Me1] V. Mercat, *Clifford's theorem and higher rank vector bundles*, *International Journal of Math.* **13** (2002), 785-796.

- [Me2] V. Mercat, *Le problème de Brill-Noether pour les fibrés de petite pente*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **506** (1999), 1-41.
- [MP] R. Miro-Roig and J. Pons-Llopis, *The minimal resolution conjecture for points on del Pezzo surfaces*, Algebra and Number Theory **6** (2012), 27-46.
- [Mu] S. Mukai, *Biregular classification of Fano 3-folds and Fano manifolds of coindex 3*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, Vol. 86, 3000-3002, 1989.
- [Mus] M. Mustață, *Graded Betti numbers of general finite subsets of points on projective spaces*, Le Matematiche (Catania), Vol. LIII (1998), 53-81.
- [P] M. Popa, *On the base locus of the generalized theta divisor*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **329** (1999), Série I, 507-512.
- [R] M. Raynaud, *Sections des fibrés vectoriels sur une courbe*, Bulletin de la Société Math. de France **110** (1982), 103-125.
- [Se] E. Sernesi, *On the existence of certain families of curves*, Inventiones Math. **75** (1984), 25-57.
- [V] C. Voisin, *Sur l'application de Wahl des courbes satisfaisant la condition de Brill-Noether-Petri*, Acta Math. **168** (1992), 249-272.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS "SIMION STOILOW" OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, CALEA GRIVIȚEI  
21, SECTOR 1, RO-010702 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA  
E-mail address: aprodu@imar.ro

HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNTER DEN LINDEN 6  
10099 BERLIN, GERMANY  
E-mail address: farkas@math.hu-berlin.de

HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNTER DEN LINDEN 6  
10099 BERLIN, GERMANY  
E-mail address: ortega@math.hu-berlin.de